

ICH M7 (R2) – Assessment and Control of DNA Reactive (Mutagenic) Impurities in Pharmaceuticals to Limit Potential Carcinogenic Risk Questions and Answers Step 2 document – to be released for comments

29 June 2020

International Council for Harmonisation of Technical Requirements
for Pharmaceuticals for Human Use

Legal Notice

- This presentation is protected by copyright and may be used, reproduced, incorporated into other works, adapted, modified, translated or distributed under a public license provided that ICH's copyright in the presentation is acknowledged at all times. In case of any adaption, modification or translation of the presentation, reasonable steps must be taken to clearly label, demarcate or otherwise identify that changes were made to or based on the original presentation. Any impression that the adaption, modification or translation of the original presentation is endorsed or sponsored by the ICH must be avoided.
- The presentation is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind. In no event shall the ICH or the authors of the original presentation be liable for any claim, damages or other liability arising from the use of the presentation.
- The above-mentioned permissions do not apply to content supplied by third parties. Therefore, for documents where the copyright vests in a third party, permission for reproduction must be obtained from this copyright holder.

Outline

- **Background**
- **Q&As Objectives and Work process**
- **Q&As**
- **Conclusions**

Background

- The ICH M7 guideline was adopted by ICH in June 2014 and the first addendum (R1) was adopted in May 2017
- This Q&A document was developed to provide additional clarification to details having led to differing interpretation by stakeholders
- A Concept Paper (Sep 19th 2018) has been developed to guide the development of the Q&A
- The Q&A has been signed off as a *Step 2* document by the Assembly (*Step 2a*) and the Regulatory Members of the Assembly (*Step 2b*) in May 2020 to be issued by the ICH Regulatory Members for public consultation
- The EWG anticipates to finalize as a *Step 4* document November / 2020

Q&A Objectives

- **Clarification of details in the guideline document which led to different interpretation by stakeholders**
 - Justification of control strategy in marketing authorization applications
 - Organization and detail of information on mutagenic impurities in marketing authorization applications
 - (Q)SAR systems
- **Promote further harmonization in using this guidance in regulation of mutagenic impurities in pharmaceuticals**

Q&A Work process

- **Stakeholders submitted more than 100 questions to EWG**
- **EWG consolidated related questions**
- **25 Q&As were finally included in this Step 2 document**

Table of Contents

- **Q&A document is structured according to the original guideline**
 - Section 1 Introduction 4 Q&As
 - Section 2 Scope 1 Q&A
 - Section 3 General Principles 2 Q&As
 - Section 4 Marketed products 1 Q&A
 - Section 6 Hazard Assessment 4 Q&As
 - Section 7 Risk Assessment 5 Q&As
 - Section 8 Control 6 Q&As
 - Section 9 Documentation 2 Q&As

Q&As section 1

- **Clarification of meaning of mutagenic and genotoxic potential**
- **Recommendations for evaluation of impurities present at or below 1 mg**
- **Recommendations for evaluation of impurities present above 1 mg**

Q&As sections 2 & 3

- **Are semi-synthetic drugs in scope?**
 - Yes, for certain cases, e.g. introducing mutagenic impurities with specific manufacturing steps.
- **Should non-mutagenic carcinogens and mutagenic non-carcinogens be controlled by ICH M7?**
 - Non-mutagenic carcinogens are out of scope
 - Mutagenic impurities that are proven non-carcinogens are considered similar to class 5 impurities.

Q&As sections 4 & 5

- **What does “significant increase in clinical dose of marketed products” mean?**
 - Any increase in dose that would increase any mutagenic impurity above acceptable limits

Q&As sections 6

- **Recommendations for validation and documentation to provide for in-house or not commonly used (Q)SAR models**
- **Expectations for qualification of an (Q)SAR “out of domain” or “non-coverage” result to assign an impurity to Class 5**
- **AMES negative impurities with positive clastogenicity study results:**
 - Irrelevance of clastogenicity test for classification
- **Rationale for follow up assays in Note 3**

Q&A section 7

- **Ames positive impurities**
 - Further qualification using *in vivo* mutation assays can be performed to demonstrate lack of *in vivo* relevance
 - *In vivo* mutation assays not considered sufficiently validated to derive compound specific limits
- **LTL approach not considered acceptable for PDEs**
- **HIV disease has been moved from “treatment duration <10 years” to “lifetime” treatment**
 - Explanations and Implications
- **Limits for individual impurities apply when three or more class 2 and class 3 impurities are present**

Q&As section 8

- **Option 4 control strategy**
 - When is it appropriate?
 - Elements recommended when using predictive purge calculations to claim no analytical testing as per option 4
- **Considerations for control of impurities introduced or formed in the last synthetic step**
- **When is periodic verification testing allowed?**

Q&As section 8 cont.

- **Does level of impurities consistently found <30% TTC in multiple batches justify no testing?**
- **Batch scales recommended to provide experimental data to support control options 3 and 4**

Q&As section 9

- **Are (Q)SAR predictions made earlier in development still valid for market authorization?**
- **Recommendations for clarity of ICH M7 risk assessment and control strategy**
 - Location in CTD
 - Details to be provided in modules 2, 3 and 4

Conclusions

- **Q&As are provided to minimise different interpretation of specific aspects of**
 - Risk assessment of mutagenic impurities
 - Control strategy of mutagenic impurities
- **Q&As aim to further harmonize and facilitate the implementation of ICH M7 recommendations**

Contact

- For any questions please contact the ICH Secretariat:

admin@ich.org